THE ASIAN JOURNAL OF HORTICULTURE Volume 7 | Issue 1 | June, 2012 | 78-81



Research Paper

Article history : Received : 02.12.2011 Revised : 09.02.2012 Accepted : 22.03.2012

Members of the Research Forum

Associate Author :

¹School of Agricultural Sciences, Yashwantrao Chavan Maharashtra Open University, NASHIK (M.S.) INDIA

Author for correspondence : B.M. MEHTA Krishi Vigyan Kendra, VALSAD (GUJARAT) INDIA Email : bmehta_61@rediffmail. com

Study of existing vegetable marketing channel with special emphasis on agricultural produce marketing committee (APMC), Dumbhal, Surat

B.M. MEHTA AND MADHURI SONAWANE¹

Abstract : The present investigation was undertaken to study the marketing practices of farmers of Surat district of Gujarat state. The study has revealed many interesting observations on the marketing of vegetables and some critical aspects relating to the marketing operations. In the surrounding villages of Surat, vegetable cultivation plays an important role in the economy. The price spread in different channel has been analysed in order to get the idea of efficiency of the existing channels. Majority of farmers are presently using APMC (commission agent) to sell their produce and in this channel they are receiving 57 per cent of price paid by consumers. In the existing system perishability of the produce, breakage and spoilage, grading, transportation, storage, unfair and wasteful trade custom, seasonal demand and supply are the major limiting factors which affect the marketing cost of the produce. Since a multiple functionaries are present in the supply chain, the producer is loaded with multiple costs and margins. It was observed that there was wide variation in the price paid to the farmers for their produce.

Key words : Marketing channel, Price spread, Producer, Consumer

How to cite this article : Mehta, B.M. and Sonawane, Madhuri (2012). Study of existing vegetable marketing channel with special emphasis on agricultural produce marketing committee (APMC), Dumbhal, Surat, *Asian J. Hort.*, 7(1) : 78-81.

The efficiency of marketing for fruits and vegetables in India has been of significant concern in the recent years. Indian farmers typically depend heavily on middlemen particularly for marketing of their fruits and vegetable production. The producers and the consumers often get a poor deal and the middlemen control the market, but do not add much value. There is also massive wastage, deterioration in quality as well as frequent mismatch between demand and supply both spatially and temporally.

The agricultural marketing system in our country suffers from maximum inefficiency due to lack of infrastructural facilities and market information, exploitation by middlemen and archaic marketing policies which have resulted in higher price of vegetables for consumers and less share of farmers in consumers' price. It is estimated that about twenty five to thirty per cent of perishables produced in the country are wasted due to inefficient handling, transportation and lack of storage conditions. The agricultural produce being perishable needs to be sold out as soon as they are harvested. Delay in marketing of these produces results in low remuneration or no remuneration. In this situation the traders take the advantage as they are financially sound and very well organized in the market. So farmer remains at the mercy of the trader through generation. The market is also deprived of healthy and fair competition (Tripathi *et.al.*, 2010).

Some studies have found that farmers' share in consumer's rupee is comparatively lower for perishable crops (Singh, 1985). This could be due to a variety of factors such as number of intermediaries, cost of various market functions rendered by intermediaries, spread of location of the producers and consumers. Further the degree of perishability, variety and quality, and various market imperfections, market infrastructure, etc also influence the marketing costs and price levels. Agricultural marketing continued to be plagued by many market imperfections such as inadequate infrastructure, lack of scientific grading system, defective weighting and so on.